

**REMARKS**

In the additional material added in the final rejection, the following assertions are made at page 3, first full paragraph:

1. It is noted that Hibi teaches that the replays are automatically concatenated since the replays are arranged into a list of sequential playback.
2. Hibi teaches the replays are automatically captured and arranged in a time sequence as a list.
3. Figure 16 shows a display of recorded replays arranged into a list of sequential replays for playback in a time base to enable a user to select or to automatic selection playback.
4. Upon the user selects a playback, all of the replays will be automatically sequentially played back by the apparatus.


Each of the above-cited assertions are contrary to the reference and completely unsupported by the reference. To the contrary, they amount to nothing more than the unsupported statement that the reference teaches what is claimed.

The only cited support is column 13, lines 1-17, which, like the material previously pointed out in the previous response, shows that the cited reference does the opposite of what is claimed. Namely, in the cited material, it is stated that "one of the small screens is selected by moving the corresponding character with the movable button ... ." It is clear that one, and only one, of the small screens is selected. The assertion that the small screens are automatically selected in a sequential basis is totally unsupported and is contrary to the cited language within the reference. Such a rejection is unsustainable.

Namely, the assertion of sequential playback is totally unsupported. As such, it has no hope of being sustained and should be reconsidered. To the contrary, the reference is explicit that the items are individually selectable and are not automatically played back in sequence. The assertion that just because a series of items are provided, that they would be sequentially played back is baseless. Instead, what happens is one can select whichever of the replays one wishes, but there is no concatenation for automatic playback suggested anywhere within the reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 23, 2007



---

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750  
Houston, TX 77057-2631  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation